

Minia J. of Agric. Res. & Develop. Vol. (^{*)}) No. ^{*} pp ^{*}^{*}^{*}⁻^{*}^{*}, ^{*} ,))

FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE

EFFECT OF IRRADIATION, FUMIGATION AND THERMAL TREATMENT ON CHEMICAL, ORGANOLEPTIC AND HISTOLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF SIWI DATES DURING STORAGE.

M.N. Kenawi*; A.M. Hammam *; A.A. Dawood**; A.M.Aly***; and B.A.G. Gado***

Food Sci. Dept. Fac. of Agric. Minia Univ.*Fac. of Tourism & Hotels Minia Univ.**., Inst of Agric. Res. Center (ARC),*** Giza, Egypt.

Received 1. Oct. 1.11 Accepted 1. Oct. 1.11

ABESTRACT

This investigation was conducted on semi-dry date fruits "Siwi variety" to study the quality characteristics of fruits during storage for *\Y* months at room temperature. Date samples were treated with fumigation (sulphar dioxide or methyl bromide (MB), low doses of gamma irradiation (1., 7. and 7. KGy), thermal treatments (° · °C / ^r ^thr and ° · °C / ^t hr) and combined treatment (irradiation) KGy with thermal treatments °. °C /^Y^thr).Chemical and organoleptic characteristics and histological examination were made on treated dates samples. Total sugar values decreased during storage for all samples. Control sample had the lowest value of total sugar $(\circ \lor. \lor : \checkmark)$ while thermal treatment recorded the highest value ((1.1%)). The same trend was observed with other chemical characteristics: crude protein, crude fat, ash content, fiber content ,total sugar and minerals content. Methyl bromide (MB) or sulfur dioxide (SO₁) residues after fumigation in dates was less than maximum residue limits (MRL). Panelists test gave preferability for the combination treatment at first rank, the thermal separately and other treatments, respectively. Histological examination, showed that

dates tissues contained exocarp, mezocarp and endocarp. Exocarp tissues have "-layers of similar small cutinized parenchymatou cells which filled in some cells with tannins. Whereas, the edible part as mezocarp tissues which has chloroplast tannins cells in groups , distributed in discontinuous bands. High doses of irradiations (". kGy) or high temperatures ($\circ \cdot C^{\circ/t} \wedge hrs$) injured cell walls presented in shrinkage or collapsed cells. The proper treatment was heating at $\circ \cdot {}^{\circ}C$ for ${}^{t}{}^{t}$ hrs resulted in dates with less changes in studied characteristics without or with ${}^{\circ}{}$. kGy.

It could be recommended that using thermal treatments are the suitable alternative for using chemicals or irradiation for improving quality of semi-dry date fruits. Irradiation and thermal treatment are beneficial methods to increase the storage quality of date.

INTRODUCTION

Date palm (*Phoenix dactylifera L*.) is an important agricultural crop in Egypt. High losses (about $7 \circ$) occur during post-harvest due to insect infestation and microorganisms contamination either during handling or storage under unsuitable conditions (FAO, $\forall \cdot \cdot \forall$). During long storage at room temperature semi-dry date fruits such as Siwi variety suffer from insect and mold infestation with the consequent presence of aflatoxins (Emam et al. 1992). The treatments usually use pesticides as fumigation with methyl bromide(MB) or phosphine. Methyl bromide (MB) is one of banned zone depleting substances of the Montereal Protocol besides its toxicity for human health (IARC, 1947, EHC, 1995). Therefore, the manufacture and exportation of MB will be prevented completely in $\gamma \cdot \gamma \circ$ (Codex, $\gamma \circ \gamma \circ$). The irradiation of any food commodity up to an overall average dose of \cdot kGy introduces no toxicological hazard, hence toxicological testing of food treated is no longer required" (FAO/IAEA, 1991). Egypt, is a commercial user of food irradiation and sterilization of dried food stuffs, herbs, and medical goods (Zaid et al. ۲۰۰۰). Methyl bromide (MB) is commercially available as a liquefied gas and used as fumigant for the control of nematodes, fungi and weeds.

Methyl bromide affects human health both directly and indirectly. It effects the nervous system and damages lungs, kidneys,

Y W £

eyes and skin of workers involved in the manufacture as showed by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Baselt, (19AY), IARC (19AT), FAO/WHO, (19AA) and EHC, (19PE). Sulfite as gas or salts has been used for long time as antimicrobial agents in food processing. The legal limit in the United Kingdom is $\mathfrak{so} \mu g/g$ as sulfur oxide in some processed food. It is used mainly to inhibit *Clostridium perfringeris* in fresh sausage (Dyett and Shelley, 1977). Also, it can be used to prevent browning as antioxidant or as reducing agent (Roberts and Weeny, 1977). The maximum acceptable daily intake of SO_T for humans is about $\cdot N mg/kg$ according to WHO $(19Y\mathfrak{E})$. However, using sulfitation as anti-browning, antioxidant and anti-microbial treatment, some investigators proved toxicity of sulphite in food products (Mcweeny et al., $19Y\mathfrak{E}$).

Thermal treatment can be considered a suitable natural treatment in order to replace pesticides and fumigants to over-come residue regulation and increasing insect resistance towards these insecticides. Using gentle thermal treatment $(\pounds \cdot - 7 \cdot \circ C)$, $\vee \cdot \checkmark$ Relative humidity) resulted in killing most of insects stages as shown by FAO (199% and 199Λ). Also, using heat treatment ($\pounds \circ \circ C$, $\circ \cdot \circ C$) combined vacuum packed dates resulted in high percentage of mortality for Ephestia sp. (FAO, 199%, 199Λ).

The objective of this investigation was to evaluate the effect of recommended doses of gamma irradiation, thermal treatments, sulfating, MB fumigation and combination treatments (thermal plus irradiation) on some chemical, histological and organoleptic properties of semi-dry Siwi date during *YY* months of storage.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Materials:

Date fruit "*Phoneix dactylifera*" c.v Siwi variety at tamer stage as semi-dry, were obtained from upper Egypt, New Valley Governorate during $\forall \cdots \diamond$ season.

Methods

Treatments.

Samples of date fruits were harvested free from any additional treatments, and packaged in polyethylene bags. Packaged dates were divided to nine groups for treating as control (untreated), irradiation with different doses (1., 7. and 7. kGy), fumigation with methyl bromide(MB), sulfur dioxide(SO₇), thermal treatments at $\circ \cdot ^{\circ}$ C for 7 hrs, ξ hrs and combination treatments only irradiation with 1. kGy plus $\circ \cdot ^{\circ}$ C/ 7ξ hr.

Irradiation process.

Packaged dates in polyethylene(Kg) were exposed to gamma rays with different doses as ${}_{\cdot,\cdot}$, ${}_{\cdot}$ and ${}_{\cdot,\cdot}$ kGy at doses rates (${}_{\cdot,\uparrow,{}_{\cdot}{}_{\wedge}{}_$

Funigation with methyle bromide gas was carried out with standard common commercial method at $1 \text{ lab}/1 \cdots$ feet volume during 17 hr i.e. 9 gm gas/1 m at room temperature $9 \circ \text{C}$, $9 \cdot -9 \circ \text{C}$, RH. This process was performed at Food Technology Research Institute, Giza, Egypt, then all funigated date samples were packaged directly after 17 hrs.

Sulphur dioxide treatment.

Date fruits were exposed to sulfur dioxide(SO_7) gas at $1 \circ \cdots$ mg.Kg-1. All treated samples were packaged after treatment. This process were performed at date processing unite, Food Technology Research Institute, Giza, Egypt.

Thermal treatment.

Thermal treatment was made by using air circulation oven at $\circ \circ^{\circ}C$ for two periods, the former one was at $\forall \epsilon$ hrs whereas the last one at $\epsilon \wedge$ hrs. Date samples were spread on trays with moving fruits from time to time, then, packaged in polyehylen bags.

Combination treatment.

Packaged samples were heated at $\circ \cdot \circ C/\gamma \notin$ hrs then irradiated with $\cdot \cdot kGy$. All treated sample, (Table \cdot) were stored at room temperature ($\gamma \circ \pm \circ \circ C$), ($\gamma \circ \pm \circ RH\%$) for one year at laboratory of

Horticulture Crop Processing Research Department, Food Technology Research Institute, Giza.

Number	Treatments
١	Control (untreated dates)
۲	Gamma irradiation with \. KGy
٣	Gamma irradiation with ^v . • kGy
٤	Gamma irradiation with ". • kGy
٥	Fumigattion with Methyl bromide(MB)
٦	Sulfur dioxide gas.
۷	Thermal treatment at ° · °C/Y [£] hr.
٨	Thermal treatment at ° · °C/ ^{<i>t</i>} hr.
વ	Combination treatment ($\circ \cdot \circ C/\forall \sharp$ hr + $\cdot \cdot kGy$)

Table	۱:	List	of	treatments	of	dates f	ruits
-------	----	------	----	------------	----	---------	-------

Chemical analysis

Total sugars were determined using the method described by Somogi, (1907). Protein, lipids ,Fiber, Ash, Mineral contents were determined according to A.O.A.C. $(7 \cdot \cdot \cdot)$.

Determination of methyl bromide and sulfur dioxide residues :

Preparation of samples, extraction and determination of bromidecontaining fumigants as total inorganic bromide were performed according to Greve and Grevenstuk (19V7 & 19V9), Stijve (19VV&(19V1) and Gad Alla et al., (7...). Total sulfur dioxide residue was determined in date samples using the method of Ranganna (19V9). Organolentic evaluation:

Organoleptic evaluation:

Treated date fruits samples were sensory evaluated for color, flavor, taste, texture and overall acceptability. Ten of Food Technology Research Institute staff members tested the treated samples after 1,7,7,9 and 17 months. Each was presented nine samples and asked to evaluated the products for color, taste, texture and overall acceptability. Panel scores were based on a hedonic scale $(1 \cdot -1)$ with $(9-1 \cdot)$ being the highly desirable and $(\cdot -1)$ undesirable..

Histological examination:

Date fruits were prepared, preserved in formaline-aceto-alcohol (FAA.), embedded in paraffin wax, then sectioned at 1.10μ according to the conventional method (Johansen, 195). Sections stained in saffraninen and light green, then slides were covered to

photo using scaled to $\gamma \cdot \cdot$ and $\gamma \cdot \cdot \mu m$. in addition all the microphotographs presented as documentation. The number of cells and thickness area per each tissue was done using the micrometric slide. The magnification was $\gamma \cdot \times \gamma \cdot$ each value as expressed of average of ten sections. Thickness area value was expressed of area $\gamma \circ \mu \times$ area per each tissue.

Statistical analysis: The statistical analysis were performed according to Steel and Torrie (194.).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Chemical analysis:

Total sugar:

Results of Table ^Y. show that total sugars content of control samples was $\vee^{q}.\forall \forall'$. This was decreased gradually during storage at room temperature reaching $\circ^{\vee}.\forall \forall'$. after \vee^{Y} months of storage. However, irradiation had high values of total sugar than control at the end period of storage. The total sugars content of irradiated samples after \vee^{Y} months were $\vee^{\forall}.\forall^{A}, \forall^{\forall}.\forall^{\forall}$ and $\vee^{\circ}.\circ^{\forall'}$. for $\vee., \forall.\cdot, \forall.\cdot$ and $\forall.\cdot, kGy$, respectively. Whereas, thermal treatments recorded $\vee^{\forall}.\forall^{\pi}$ and $\circ.\wedge\wedge'$. for $\circ\cdot^{\circ}C/\forall^{\xi}$ hrs respectively at the end of period storage. These results are in accordance with the results of Kamel ($\vee^{q}.\forall^{\forall}$), Nezam El-Dine ($\vee^{q}.\wedge\wedge$), Ramadan ($\vee^{q}.\bullet$), Emam et al., ($\vee^{q}.\forall$), and Zaid *et al.*, ($\vee \cdot \circ$).

Fiber content (%):

It is commonly present as in soluble, non-nutritive portion of date fruits. According to the obtained results in Table (v), Siwi dates had v,v ? (at zero time of storage) then recorded a slight decrease (v,v ?) after one year of storage. It is worthy to mention that low fiber character in Siwi dates is considered main factor in quality of Siwi as semi dry dates, when comparing with dry dates group which raise to more than ξ ? (FAO, $^{vq}\xi$).

Most treatments, decreased fiber content during storage with negligible differences. The lowest value was observed with MB (1.0.%) followed by sulfating treatments. Nearly same values were published by FAO (199ξ) , Abozaid, (7..7) and El-Feky (7..7).

Table*: Total sugars content ofTreated Siwidate samplesduring storage at room temperature (dry weight basis)

Treatmonts		Storag	e period (r	nonth)						
Treatments	•	٣	٦	٩	١٢					
Untreated	٧٩.٦٢	۷۷.٤٦	۷٦.٤٨	٦٣.٦٩	٥٧.١٤					
samples	±٠.^١	±۰.۹۰	±۰۹٥	±1	±۱.۰۰					
Irradiation samples										
۱kGy	۷۹٬۸۰	۷۸٬۹۷	۷۸٬۰۶	۷۷.۱۱	۷٦.٢٨					
	±1.1 ·	±۱۰۱۰	<u>+</u> ۰.۹٥	±1	±۰.۹۷					
×1-C	۷۸٬۹۱	۷۷.۰۳	۷۷.۰۱	٧٦.٧٠	٧٦.١٦					
'KGy	±1.7 •	±۱۰۰	±•.^•	±·. ^{VV}	±۰.۷۹					
	۷۸,۳۳	۰۰.۰۰	٧٦.٩٩	٤٥.٧٢	٧٥.٥٦					
' KGY	±•.90	<u>+</u> ۱۰۰	±۰.۹۸	<u>±</u> ۰.۹٤	±۰.۹۷					
Fumigation sam	ples									
Methyl	۷۷.۹۱	۷٥.١٢	٧٤.٦٧	٧٤.0٣	V7.V7					
bromide(MB)	±1.1.	±۰.۹۱	±۰.۹۸	±•.^°	±۰.۹۶					
Sulfating	४२. ९९	٧٥.٢٦	٧٤.٦٤	٧٤.١١	۷۳.۷۰					
(SO ₁)	<u>+</u> ۰.۹٥	±•.^•	±۱۰۰	±۱۰۰	±۰.۹۹**					
Thermal treated	1			•	•					
$2 \cdot C/t$ three	٧٩.٦٣	۷۸٫۷۳	۷۸.۱٦	۷۷.۱۸	٧٦.٤٣					
	±۱.۰۰	±•.^•	±۰.۹۰	±•. \ °	±۰.^۱					
a.ºC/fÅbra	۷۹.٤۰	۷۸.۱۲	۰، ۲۷	٧٦.0٤	۷۰.۷۷					
	±۱.۲۰	±1	<u>+</u> ۰.۹٥	±٠.٩١	±۰.۹۰					
Combination tr	eatment [*]									
$(\overline{\cdot \cdot \mathbf{kGy}})$ +	٧٩٫١٦	٧٥٫٣٥	٧٤,٦٦	٧٤٫٥٣	٧٤١٦					
(° · °C/۲ ٤ hrs	±.,90	±1	±•.^•	±۰.۹۱	±۰.۹۰					
		- •								

*Combination treatment = irradiation (\cdot . kGy) +thermal process ($\circ \cdot \circ C/\gamma \xi$ hrs) ** $\pm =$ SD (Standard Deviation

Table [♥] : Fiber content (free pit) of treated Siwi date cultivar during storage periods (months) at room temperature (dry weight basis).

Treatments		Storage	e periods (month)						
	٠	٣	٦	٩	١٢					
Untreated	۷۳۷	1.77	1.72	1.87	1.44					
samples	±۰.۰۷	±۰.۰۶	±٠.١٤	±۰.۱۲	±۰.۹۰					
Irradiation samples										
۱ kGy	۱.٦٧	۱.٦٨	١.٦٦	1.77	1.70					
	±۰.۱۲	±٠.۱۱	±•.10	±۰.۱٤	±۰.۱۰					
۲ kGy	1.44	۱.۷۸	1.77	1.77	1.10					
	±•.)•	±٠.۱۱	±•.•^	±۰.۰۹	±٠.١٠					
۳ kGy	۱.٦٨	1.77	1.77	١.٦٦	١.٦٦					
	±۰.۱۹	±۰.۱	±•.))	±۰.۱۰	±۰.۰۹					
Fumigation samp	les									
Methyl	1.07	1.01	1.01	١.٥٠	1.0.					
bromide (MB)	±•.**	±۰.۲۸	±۰.۳۰	±۰.۲۹	±۰.۲۸					
Sulfating	1.07	1.00	1.05	1.07	1.07					
(SOr)	±٠.١٤	±۰.۱۰	±٠.١٤	±٠.۱۸	±٠.١٩					
Thermal treated										
۰°C/۲٤ hrs	1.0797	1.0727	1.07	1.071	1.007					
	±۰.۱۲	±٠.۱۷	±•.)°	±۰.۱۰	±•.**					
۰°C/٤٨ hrs	1.7105	1.71.0	1.4.1	1. ٧	۱.۷۰۰					
	±٠.١٤	±۰.۱۳	±۰.۱۲	±۰.۱۰	±۰.۱۰					
Combination trea	tment									
(¹ . • kGy)+	1.771	1.77.	1.779	1.77.	1.759					
۰°C/۲٤hrs)	±۰.۱۰	±٠.١٤	±٠.١٤	±۰.۱۲	±۰.۱۰					

Ash content:

The determination of ash content (%) of semi-dry dates proved presence of $(7. \frac{\epsilon}{2})$ at zero time near some values as observed at end period of storage. In the same time, no effect was observed due to different treatments as shown in Table (ϵ). These results are in parallel with those obtained by different authors either for Siwi dates (Ramdan, 199.; Khalil, 1990; Ibrahim, 199.; Assous, 1999 and

Sahari et al., $\gamma \cdot \cdot \gamma$) which ranged from $\gamma \cdot \gamma'$ or other varieties which obtained near γ' (FAO, $\gamma \gamma \gamma'$).

Treatments	<u>emperata</u>	Storage	o porioda (month)				
Treatments		Storag	e perious (montin)				
	•	۲	٦	٩	17			
Untreated	۲.٤.	4.20	۲. ٤ ٤	۲.٤٦	4.57			
samples	±. ٤ ۲	±•.*°	±•.**	±۰.۳٥	±۰.۳۳			
Irradiation samples								
۱ kGy	۲.0۷	۲.0٨	۲ _. ٥٦	۲.٥٧	۲.0٨			
	۲۲ <u>.</u> ++	۰۲ <u>،</u> +۰	±٠.٢٤	۸۲ <u>.</u> + ±	±٠.۲٧			
۲ kGy	۲.٤٠	۲.٤١	۲.٤٣	۲.٤٢	۲.٤٣			
-	±۰.٤۰	±٠.٤٩	±۰.٥۲	±٠.٤١	±٠.٤٢			
۳ kGy	۲.٦٠	۲.0٨	۲.0۷	۲.0۷	۲.٦٠			
	±•.°•	±٠.٦٦	t، `o)	۲۰ _. ۰۲	±۰.۵۸			
Fumigation sample	S							
Methyl	۲ _. ٦٧	۲.7٤	۲.70	۲.٦٦	۲ _. ٦٧			
bromide(MB	۲۳ <u>.</u> + +	±۰.۳٥	±٠.٣٧	±٠.٤٠	±٠.٣٨			
(Sulfating SO ₁)	۲ _. ٦٩	۲ _. ٦٨	۲.٦٦	۲.7٤	۲.٦٠			
	±٠.٣٠	±٠.٣١	±۰.۳۰	۲۳ <u>.</u> ۴۲	±۰.۳۰			
Thermal treated								
۰،°C/۲٤ hrs	۲.٦٥	۲.٦٦	۲.٦٧	۲.٦٧	۲ _. ٦٨			
	±۰.٥٣	$\pm \cdot VV$	±•. ^V •	t، ۲۱.±	±•.°•			
۰،°C/٤۸ hrs	۲.٦٤	۲ _. ٦٦	۲.70	۲.٦٤	۲.٦٦			
	±٠.٣٤	±۰.٤۰	±۰.۳٥	±•.°•	±٠.٤١			
Combination treat	nent							
(\. • k Gy)+	۲.09	۲.٥٧	۲۰۸	۲.0٨	۲.٦٠			
۰ °C/۲ ٤hrs)	±٠.٤٣	±٠.٤١	±۰.۳۰	±٠.٤١	±۰.٤۰			

 Table [£]
 : Ash content of treated Siwi date samples during storage at room temperature (dry weight basis).

Minerals content:

Data considered a suitable source for health elements. Analysis of untreated samples only as shown in Table(°). Mg content (mg/ $\cdot\cdot$ gm on dry weight) ranged from $\circ\cdot$ \cdot which increased gradually during storage to \circ . Also, sodium content (Na) was \cdot . \circ mg which increased to \cdot . Also, sodium content (Na) was \cdot . Same

trends were in Zn ($1^{\circ}.^{\sharp}$ mg), Mn ($1^{\circ}.^{\sharp}$ mg), Fe ($1^{\circ}.^{\circ}$ mg), Ca ($1^{\circ}.^{\circ}$ mg), K ($1^{\circ}.^{\circ}$ mg) and Cu ($1^{\circ}.^{\sharp}$ mg) which increased during storage ever end of storage to $1^{\circ}.^{\circ}$ mg, $1^{\circ}.^{\circ}$ mg and $1^{\circ}.^{\circ}$ mg/ $1^{\circ}.^{\circ}$ gm dry weight basis. The effect of storage caused increased elements contents may be due to decrease of moisture content. Same analysis of dates was observed by Sahari et al., $(1^{\circ}.^{\circ})$.

we	ignt dasis).									
Minerals	Storage periods (month)									
	•	٣	٦	٩						
Mg	۳0۱۸٥	۳٥٦.٣١٠	۳۸۰.۹٤۰	۳۸٦.٦١٠						
Na	7.177	۲.0۹۷	٧.٦.٧	۷.۸۰۱						
Zn	۱۳.۸٦٠	१२. ٣٩٧	14.057	18.291						
Mn	٧.٣٦٥	٨,.٦٩٣	۸.۱۹۸	٨. ٤٩١						
Fe	9.117	9,197	٩.٣٠٣	१ ,९०९						
Ca	177.817	175.77.	124.24	۱٦٨۲						
K	21.7.709	£ Å £ ٣ <mark>.</mark> ۳ ۲ ۱	٤٨٨٣.٠ ٢٦	٤٨٨٦١٩						
Cu	٣.٤٦٢	٣.٦٣٦	٤.١٢١	5.711						

Table • : Minerals content(mg/\...gm) of treated Siwi date samples during storage at room temperature(dry weight basis).

HPLC sugar analysis:

HPLC profile analysis of Siwi dates are shown in Table(1). The results showed presence of major peaks as sucrose, glucose, fructose, xylose and mannose. Untreated dates contained high concentrations of sucrose (\cdot .) 1), glucose (\circ^{7} . 1), fructose (7 . 4) and sorbitol (\cdot . 2) as mg/ 1 ·· gm/D.W. These values slightly decreased with irradiation to (\cdot .) $^{1}\circ$), (\cdot . n), (\cdot . n 1) for 1 · kGy, 1 · kGy and n · kGy in respectively. Same trend by irradiation was clear even end of storage. Only MB and sulfiting treatment caused some increase as \cdot .) 4 and \cdot . $^{1}\circ^{1}$ mg/ 1 · gm/D.W. in respectively. But some increases were clear on thermal treated dates or with combination treatments which increased from \cdot . $^{1}\vee^{1}$ to \cdot . $^{1}\vee$ mg/ $^{1}\cdot$ · gm/D.W. The reduction of sucrose after irradiation directly may be due to the effect of irradiation on glycosidic bond which can be broken easily in presence

of O^{γ} . The results were according with Al-Kahtani et al. (1997), who showed that Khalas dates had some trend after irradiation directly or during storage period.

uting storage at room temperature (ury weight basis).														
	Sucr	ose	Mal	tose	Glu	cose	Xylo	se	Manno	se	Fru	ctose	Sorb	oitol
Treatments	Α	b	а	b	а	b	а	b	а	b	а	b	a	b
Untreated samples	•.187				٥٢.٥٩						۲٦ <u>.</u> ٩£		۰.٤۷ ۲	
Irradiation sa	mples													
۱ kGy	170	•.٧٦			07.80	۱۸.٤٤	1.17	:			14.01	۳۰.۳٤		.15
۲ kGy	۱.۰۸	۰.٦٣			22.199	14.12		:	-		۲۸.٦٤	19.14	۰.۸۹	. 15
۳ kGy	•.٣٣٦	1.17			٥٣.٣٢	75.17		:	-		11.11	22.92	۰.۸۳	. * *
Fumigation sa	amples													
methyl bromide (MB)	• <u>.</u> 197	۰.۲۰			07.79	۹.٦١٧			•.1107		۲٦.٤١	۱۰.۹۷	۰.۰۹ ۲	۰.۰٦
Sulfating (SO ₇)	•.707	•.**			£7.97	٨.٨٥			•_177		۳۰.۷۱	۰۰.۰۸		
Thermal trea	ted													
۰°C/۲٤hrs	•	۰.££			٥٧.٠٦	۱۰ <u>٬</u> ۸۰			•_177		۲۸ <u>.</u>	۱۷ <u>.</u> ٥٤	•.1£ 0	۰.۱۹
۰°C/٤^hrs	•	۰.۸٦			097	×1.0Y	•		•.179		۲۹.۳۳	۲۳ <u>.</u> ۹۳	•.10 Y	۰.۱٤
Combination	treatmen	nt												
(\ kGy/° · °C \ f thrs)		۰.۸۲			٥٤.٦٧	۲۱.۸۸			.107		۲٦.0٨	۲٤.۳۹	. 10	.10

Table	٦ :	Saccharides	fraction	of	treated	Siwi	date	samples	
during storage at room temperature(dry weight basis).									

a= At beginning of storage

b = At end of storage (17 months)

--- = Not detected

Crude protein:

The results of crude protein are tabulated in Table ($^{\vee}$). The protein content was $^{\vee, \vee, \vee}$ (mg/). gm on dry weight) in untreated samples at beginning of storage. This value was decreased gradually during long storage to $^{\vee, \vee, \vee}$. The decrease in protein content due to transpiration consequently decomposition of some protein content especially at high temperature of storage at room temperature. All the tested treatments decreased level of protein content in all samples during long storage, but no big differences can be observed between the treated samples. The populated data proved that all used treatments can stabilize the crude protein content during long storage period. The

highest values were recorded with thermal treatment which reached γ_{\circ} , \circ , at end of storage. Same values were observed by Al Rawi et al., (γ_{γ}); FAO (γ_{γ}); Abd-Ellateaf, (γ_{γ}); Khalil (γ_{γ}); Ramadan (γ_{γ}); Abozaid (γ_{γ}) and Sahari et al., (γ_{γ})

basis).										
	Storage periods (month)									
Treatments	•	٣	٦	٩	١٢					
Untreated	۲.۳۰	۲.۱۰	١.٨٥	1.20	۱.۳۰					
samples	±۰.۳	±۰.۳	±۰.۲۹	±•.*°	±۰.۳٤					
Irradiation samples										
	۲.۳٥	۲.۳۳	۲.۳۱	۲.۲۹	4.47					
' KGY	±٠.۲٤	±۰.۲۰	±•.*V	±•.۲٩	±٠.۲٦					
۲ kGy	۲.۳٦	۲.۳٥	۲.۳۳	۲.۳۱	۲.۳۰					
	±۰.۲٥	±•.*V	±٠.۲٦	±٠.۲٤	±٠.٣١					
* I-C	۲.۳۸	۲.۳٦	۲.۳٥	۲.۳۲	۲.۳۳					
r KGy	±۰.۱۷	±٠.١٩	±۰.۲٤	±•.**	±٠.١٩					
Fumigation samples										
Methyl	7 70	4 44	۲ ۳.	۲ ۳.	* **					
bromide	+•. ٣٣	+• ٣٢	+•. ٣١	+. *0	+• ٢٩					
(MB)	- •	- •	- •	- •	- •					
Sulfating	4.44	4.44	4.44	4.40	4.40					
(SO ₇)	±•.**	±•.71	±۰.۳۰	±۰.۲٤	±•.**					
Thermal treate	d	•	•							
$0.0C/7 \pm hrs$	۲.٤٩	۲.٤٨	۲.٤٦	۲.٤٧	۲.٤٥					
C/ T IIIS	±٠.٣٩	±•.*V	±•.٣^	±۰.۳۰	±٠.٤١					
0.0C/th hrs	۲.۳۷	۲.۳٦	۲.۳٤	۲.۳٤	۲.۳۱					
	±۰.۳۷	±•.*°	±•.٣٣	±•.‴٦	±۰.۳۵					
Combination to	reatment									
(\KGy/°· [°] C	۲.۳٦	۲.۳۰	4.41	۲.۳٤	۲۳۲					
۲ thrs)	±۰.۲۹	±٠.٣٠	±۰.۲۸	±۰.۲۰	±٠.٣٤					

Table \forall : Protein content of treated Siwi date samples during storage at room temperature(gm/1...gmdry weight basis).

720

Crude fat:

The changes of lipids content after treating with different methods are shown in Table ($^{\wedge}$). The present date proved that some differences are noticed between dates after treatments. Only untreated samples recorded low levels of total lipids near $^{\circ}.^{\circ}.^{\circ}.^{\circ}C/^{\epsilon}$ hr, recorded high levels of crude fat ($^{\circ}$) on dry weight basis. But, most the other treatments had negligible differences either at beginning or at end of storage period ($^{\circ}$ months). Same obtained data were got Sawaya et al., ($^{\circ}.^{\circ}.^{\circ}$) and Sahari et al., ($^{\circ}.^{\circ}.^{\circ}$) on Irani dates.

Table A: Crude fat content of treated of Siwi date samples during
storage at room temperature(dry weight basis).

Treatment		Storag	e period	s (month)	
	•	٣	٦	٩	١٢
Untreated	۳.۱۱	۳.۰۲	۳.۱٦	۳.۲۱	
samples	±•.٤٢	±•.*°	±•.۲٩	±۰.۳۱	
Irradiation samp	les				
۱ kGy	٣.٦٤	٣.٦٥	٣.٦٣	۳.٦١	٣.٦٥
-	±•.07	±۰.٤۰	±•."٦	±۰.٤٨	±۰.۰۱
۲ kGy	٣.٤٩	٣.٤٧	۳.۰۰	٣.٤٨	۳.۰.
-	±۰.۳۹	±۰.٤۰	±۰.۳۵	±۰.۳۷	±۰.٤۲
۳ kGy	۳.۷۰	۳.۷۳	۳.۷۱	۳.٦٨	٣.٦٩
	±۰.٤۰	•۳.**	±۰.۳۸	±٠.٤٢	±۰.٤٣
Fumigation samp	oles				
Methyl	۳.۳۹	8.890	٣.٤٢	٣.٤١	٣.٤٤
bromide(MB)	±۰.٤٨	±۰.۳۹	±۰.٤،	±۰.۳۷	±۰.٤٥
Sulfating	۳.٩٢	٣.٩٤	٣٩٠	٣.٩٤	٣.٩٥
(SO ₁)	±•.•1	±۰.٤٨	±٠.٤،	±•.••	±۰.٤٧
Thermal treated					
۰°C/۲٤ hrs	٣.٩٦	۳.90	٣٩٧	٣.٩٦	٣٩٨
	±•.*°	±•.۲٩	±۰.۳۵	±•.*V	±•.**
۰، °C/٤٨ hrs	٤.٠٦	٤٦٧	٤.١٠	٤.١٨	٤ ١٩
	±•.*°	±۰.۲۸	±۰.٤۱	±•.*°	±•.*V
Combination tre	eatment [*]				
(\KGy/°·°C	۳.٦٦	۳.٦٥	٣.	۱۸ ۳ <u>.</u> ٦٧	۳.۷۱
۲٤hrs)	±۰.٤٣	±۰.۳۸	±۰.	£1 ±•.80	±۰.۲۹

Methyl bromide (MB) and sulfur dioxide (SO₁) residues:

Determination of residues of methyl bromide (MB) using analysis by Gas chromatograph in date samples proved that fumigated fruits with methyl bromide contained less than $\cdot \cdot \cdot$ ppm. That is less than maximum residue limits as shown by Codex Alimentarius Commission (FAO/WHO, 19 ÅÅ).

Also, sulfur dioxide (SO^{γ}) residual was determined in dates. The obtained results showed that SO^{γ} residue in sulfated dates were less than ¹o^{γ} ppm. These results are paralleled with E.O.S.Q.C (¹9 Λ 7). **Panelist evaluation:**

It was considered to take the panelist opinions for tested treatments of date fruits. The results are shown in Tables (9, 1, 1) and 19. The available data of dates texture proved that all tested samples were near in value of texture evaluation even 7 months. But at the end period of storage, the values of texture of untreated samples decreased gradually especially even 17 months. At the end period of storage, the lowest value of texture decrease was recorded with combination treatment (Λ, VY) , then follow by the thermal treatments separately, sulfating and (MB) treatments.

Whereas, the irradiated samples were occupied the second rank near $\vee \cdot \stackrel{\checkmark}{}$. Same trends were resulted with color and taste results, after $\stackrel{\vee}{}$ months the highest values recorded with thermal treatments separately or with irradiation besides fumigation (MB) and sulfating. Whereas irradiated dates occupied the second rank. The overall acceptability degrees were introduced. The prefer ability of thermal treatments with or without irradiation. In addition, fumigation and sulfating had near degrees. Whereas, irradiated dates values were in second rank after $\stackrel{\vee}{}$ months, as shown in Tables (9 , $\stackrel{\vee}{}$, $\stackrel{\vee}{}$ and $\stackrel{\vee}{}$). The organoleptic evaluation of dates samples produced by the thermal treatments either with or without irradiation introduced the prefer ability of using a cheap and applicable treatments, as alternative for using chemicals as (MB), sulfating or irradiation . The same results were obtained by El-Salhy, $\stackrel{\vee}{}$

Table [¶]	: Effect	of ir	radiat	ion, , f	umigati	on, ther	mal t	reatme	ent
	and c	ombir	nation	(irradi	ation +	therma	l) on	color	of
	Siwi d	late sa	mples	during	storage	e at room	n temp	oeratu	re.

Treatment		Storag	e periods (1	nonth)	-
1 reatment	١	٣	٦	٩	١٢
Untreated	٧.٥٦	۷.٥٤	۰.۷۱	0.17	۱.۰٦
samples	±•.•1	±۱.۰	±۰.۷۳	±•.۲٩	±۱۰۰
Irradiation samp	oles				
۱ kGy	٨.٤٧	٨.٢٨	٨.٢٦	۰.۵	٦.٤
	±•.••	±•.77	±۰.٦٤	±۰.۷۱	±•. \ •
t kCu	٨.٥٧	٨.٠٢	٨.١٤	٧.٣٩	۳.۳۳
V KGY	±•.•\	±۱.۰۰	±۰.۳۱	±۰.۰٤	±•.•^
۳ kGy	٨	۷.٦	٧.٣٦	۷	٥.٢
	±۱.۰۰	±1.01	±•.•٦	±1	±•.*°
Fumigation sam	ples				
Methyl	٨	۷.۹۳	٨.٢٣	٨.٢١	۷.00
bromide(MB	±•.••	±•.17	±۰.۶۸	±۰.۷۰	±•.•١
Sulfating	۹.۱۳	٨٩٥	٨.٥٦	۰.۰	۷.٦
(SO 7)	±17	±1	±•.•١	±•.°•	±•.°٣
Thermal treated					
$\theta \cdot {}^{0}C/3$ t hrs	٨.٥٧	۸.۸۱	۸.۱۳	۸.۳	۸,۳۳
	±۰.۰۱	±•.٣٢	±•.**	±1.0V	±۰.٤٧
$\mathbf{a} \mathbf{b}^{0} \mathbf{C} / \mathbf{t} \mathbf{\lambda}$ hrs	٨.٦٠	٨.٧.	۷.۲۲	٧.٥٤	٨.٣٠
	±•.°۳	±•.°^	±•.٦٢	±۰.۰۱	±•.^۲
Combination tre	eatment [*]				
(\ KGy/°·°C	٨.٤٤	٧.٩٥	٨.١٧	٨٦٢	٧.٩٣
۲٤ hrs)	±•. ^ v	±1	±1 ٤	±۰.٤٨	±•.17

*Combination treatments = (irradiation/kGy) + thermal process ($\circ \cdot \circ C/\gamma \epsilon$ hrs)

Table V· : Effect of irradiation, fumigation, thermal treatment
and combination (irradiation + thermal) on texture
of Siwi date samples during storage at room
temperature.

Tractracet	Storage periods (month)							
Ireatment	١	٣	٦	٩	١٢			
Untreated	^ . ^^	۸.۸۷	۷ ۲	۰.۰۰				
samples	±٠.۲١	±۰.۲۳	±۰.۰۳	±•.• ^v	±۰.۲۰			
Irradiation samples								
\ lrC-r	٨.٢١	۸.۲۸	٧.٨٦	٧. ٤ ٤	०.२			
' KGy	±•.*ĭ	±•. ^٦ ۲	±۱.۰۳	±٠.٥١	±•.1V			
t IrCr	A.VA	٨.٢	٨,٣١	٧. ٤ ٤	۰.۷			
' KGY	±۰.۰۳	±•.*°	±۰.۲۰	±۰.۰۱	±٠.۱١			
¥ 1-C	٨.٤٨	٧٩٣	۷.۱۱	२.२٩	۰.۸۷			
' KGY	±•.°•	±۱.۰۰	±۰.۱۸	±۰.۳۵	±۰.۲۳			
Fumigation sam	ples							
Methyl	٨.٧٩	٨.٤	۷.۸۸	٧.١٣	٧.٨٣			
bromide(MB)	±•.*Y	±۰.°۳	±٠.۲۱	±۰.۲۳	±1^			
Sulfating	۸.٦٧	۸ <u>.</u> ۸۱	٨.٤٣	٧.٦٧	V.YV			
(SO ₇)	±•.•^	±•.٣٣	±٠.٥١	±•.°^	±٠.٦٤			
Thermal treated								
$\Delta = \frac{0}{C} / \xi + hma$	٨٩٣	۳ ۵٫۸	۲ ٥ ٢	۸.۸۳	N_V Y			
	±•.17	±•.°•	±•.°•	±•.۲٩	±۰.٤٨			
$\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{C} / \mathbf{b} \mathbf{h} \mathbf{r} \mathbf{c}$	۸.۸۳	٨.٤	٨٦	۳۳_۸	٧٩			
σι °C/ε/ hrs	±•.۲٩	±•.°*	±٠.١٢	±•.°^	±۱.۰۳			
Combination tre	eatment [*]							
([\] KGy/°·°C	٨.٧٧	٨٥٣	۲ ٥٠	٨٨٣	۲۷٫۸			
۲٤hrs)	±۰.۲۰	±•.••	±•.°•	±•.۲٩	±۰.٤٨			

Table \\: Effect of irradiation, fumigation, thermal treatmentand combination (irradiation + thermal)) on taste ofSiwi date samples during storage at room temperature.

Treatmont	Storage periods (month)								
Treatment	١	٣	٦	٩	17				
Untreated	٨.٨٧	۸٫۲۸	٨,٢٨	٤.٧٨	۰.٩				
samples	±•.^°	±۰.٤٨	±۰.۳۹	±٠.٦٩	±۰.۸٤				
Irradiation samples									
\ I -C	٩.٣٣	۲ ٥.٨	४ .२९	۷.۳۳	۳.۰۰				
' KGY	±•.°^	±۰.۰۰	±۰.۲۰	±۰.۵۸	±۱.۰۰				
t hCm	٨.٢٥	٧٧٩	٧٩٢	٧.٢٩	۲. ۰				
' KGY	±1.7V	±۱.00	±۱.۱	±1.70	±۰.^۱				
۳ I-C	٨.٦٥	۸.۰۱	٧٨٩	۷.0۸	۰.۰				
' KGY	±1.07	±۰.۸۲	±۰.۸٤	±1.77	±۰.۲۰				
Fumigation san	nples								
Methyl	A AV	٨٥	۸.٥	A 7V	٧٤.				
bromide	+1.7	+. 0.	+1 ••	+• 37	+. 07				
(MB)		- ·	<u> </u>	- • · · ·	- • ·				
Sulfating	۰.۰	۸.۰۰	٨.٢٩	۷.٦١	۳.0۳				
(SO ₇)	±۱.۰	±1	±•.٦٢	±•.° ٤	±•.••				
Thermal treate	d								
0, 0C/14 has	٩.٤	٩.٢	۸.۸۱	٨. ٤ ٤	٨.٤				
	±•.°*	±•.57	±10	±٠.٥١	±•.°*				
0, 0C/(1) hrs	٩ ٤	٨.٠٦	<u> </u>	٨.٥.	٨.١٠				
•• U/ • ^ nrs	±•.° ٤	±۱.۰۸	±۰.۰۱	±•.••	±1.•1				
Combination treatment									
(^V KGy/°·°C	9.44	٨.٤٣	٨٣	٧.٦٣	٧٩٤				
۲ thrs)	±•. ^٦ ۲	±۰.۰۱	±•.°^	±•.°°	±۱.۰۰				

Table \Y :	Effect (irradi	ation, fumigat	ion the	rmal tr	eatment and
	combination	(irradiation	+ the	rmal))	on overall
	acceptability	of Siwi date	sample	es durin	g storage at
	room tempera	ature.			

Tuestmeent	Storage periods (month)							
Ireatment	١	٣	٦	٩	17			
Untreated	۰.۵	۸,۳۳	۳.۰۰	٤.٦٧	•.••			
samples	±۰.۹۱	±•.°•	±۱.۰۰	±1.07	±•.••			
Irradiation								
\ l.C.r.	۳٧,٨	۸,۳۸	۷.۳۱	۷.۰.	٦.٢٣			
' KGY	±٠.٤٦	±•.°*	±٠.،،	±•.°•	±۰.۳٦			
t hCm	۲.0۲	۳ ٥٠	٨.٣٦	V.V Y	۰.۷			
' KGY	±٠.٥٠	±•.*°	±•.°°	±٠.٦٣	±•.°^			
* I.C.	٨.٤٧	A.AV	٧٩٠	۷.٥	٥.٦			
' KGY	±•.°•	±٠.٧١	±1.•1	±•.°•	±۰.0۳			
Fumigation sam	ples							
Methyl	۳۸.۸	۸,۳۳	٨.٢٣	A.1V	٧.٦			
bromide(MB	±٠.۲٩	±•.°^	±۰.۷	±۰.۷۶	±۰.۷۹			
Sulfating	۹.۰۲	٩.٢٦	٨٩٢	٧٨٩	۸.۰۰			
(SO ₇)	±•.^Y	±٠.٤۲	±1.11	±٠.١٩	±•.°•			
Thermal treated	1							
$a^{0}C/t$ has	٩.٤٧	٨.٩.	۸ . ۸۸	٨.٣٩	٨.١٩			
	±٠.۲٩	±•.1V	±•.*1	±•.°*	±•. \ *			
۰،°C/٤۸ hrs	۳ ٥٠	٨.٨٣	٨,٦٩	٧٩٤	٧.٢٩			
	±٠.٥٠	±•.۲٩	±•.°*	±•.•Y	±۱.۸۰			
Combination treatment								
([\] KGy/°· [°] C	٨٩٣	۸.۸۳	۸.۸۱	٨.٢.	٧٩٤			
۲٤hrs)	±۱.۰۱	±٠.۲٩	±•.٣٣	±•.*ĩ	±•.**			

Histological studies:

Exocarp tissues :

Exocarp tissue was shown in different layers between $\[mathbf{"}$ to $\[mathbf{o}\]$ layers (tables $\[mathbf{v}\]$, $\[mathbf{v}\]$ and $\[mathbf{v}\]$), the first layer usually is the epidermis cells. The second layers are the outer hypodermal cells . The third layers are the inner hypodermal cells (stone cells). Such results are in good accordance with data were obtained by Hamdy ($\[mathbf{v}\]$).

during storage at room temperature:

Mesocarp tissues were recognized to in three zones (tables 1° , 1° and 1°), the first one is the outer mesocarp zone. The second zone contains tannins cells in differs groups and distribute around the inside surface of mesocarp in red-brown colored in two rows with discontinuous band. in the outer mesocarp zone. The third layer is the parenchymatous cells of inner mesocarp. The vascular system of the pericarp consisted of several collateral bundles, distributed in all zones of the mesocarp.

Endocarp tissues:

Endocarp tissues was arranged in two rows around seed cavity (Tables 1%, 1% and $1\circ$). It contains vascular system besides collateral cells.



Fig. 1: Light microscope micrographs of cross-section of untreated samples of semi-dry date fruits "Siwi variety"

Effect of used treatment in the histological characters of dates: Effect on number of raw cells:

Using micrometric slide for counting the number of cells per each tissue proved some changes in each treated date sample. As shown in Fig. (1) and Table (1), the cell number of rows per each treated dates as endocarp, mesocarp and endocarp of fruits. The edible part mainly mesocarp occupied more than $\vee \cdot \cdot \vee$ of date cells, while. Exocarp which can remove or separate during process occupy less than $\vee \cdot \vee$ and the remain part was endocarp cells. The percentage of

Effect on layer thickness area:

The effect of used treatments on layer thickness area as expressed as (%) area per each tissues are shown in Tables 1^{ξ} and 1°) and Fig. ($^{\vee}$). The main edible portion as mesocarp, in untreated occupied (9).7% which decreased by irradiation doses (1.., 7..) and r. kGy) to $\Lambda 1.05\%$, $\gamma \Lambda.71\%$ and $\Lambda 9.71\%$ on respectively. While fumigation with (MB) or sulfating decreased it to Λ^{γ} , γ° , and Λ° , γ^{γ} . on respectively. Only gentle treatment ($\circ \cdot {}^{\circ}C/7 \xi$ hrs) separately or with 1.1 kGy resulted values near control treatments as (97.00%) and 9.07% in respectively. While, thermal treatments at $0.0C/\xi$ hrs reduced it to $\Lambda \circ .91\%$, these data proved the permeability of gentle thermal treatments either separately or with irradiation dose (1. kGy)effect of treatments on histological characters of date fruits. As shown in Fig. (\forall) irradiation at low dose caused collapsed parenchyamtous and shrinkage tissues in mesocarp tissue .With some damage of tannins as storage tannin cells. Whereas, high doses (r. kGy) caused more damage in tannin cells even its become empty cells as shown in Fig. (ξ) . Also, injured cells and shrinkage cells of mesocarp tissues.

The relationship between the histological results and the panel test.

Concerning, the relationship between the histological results and the panel test, it is clear that decreasing values of texture in irradiated samples can explain through effect of irradiation as proved in injured, collapse, the parenchymatouse cells besides dissolving tannin cells consequently decreasing he texture o irradiated dates. Whereas, that phenomena not present in he other treatments.

Table 17	: Effect	treatments fo	r Siwi	dates on	row	number	cells
----------	----------	---------------	--------	----------	-----	--------	-------

Treatmonts	Ro	Total row						
1 reatments	Exocarp	Mesocarp	Endocarp	number cells				
	۲.	٥٧	۲	VA				
Untreated	۲۰.۳۱٪	**.10%	۲.0۳٪	۷۹				
Irradiation samples								
	11	٥٢	۲	٦٥				
' KGY	17.97%	٨.٪	۳.۰۷%					
t I.C.	١٦	٥.	٤	ν.				
[†] KGy	**.10%	۷۱.٤٣%	٥٧١	v •				
* I+C+-	10	44	۲	~ ~				
т КСУ	۱۸.۰۷%	٧٩.0٢%	۲.٤١%					
Fumigation samples	I							
Methyl	١٩	04	٣	V 4				
bromide(MB)	۲0.77%	۷۰.۲۷٪	٤٥٪	v 2				
$S_{\rm rel} f_{\rm o} dim \sigma (SO)$	۱.	۳۸	۲	•				
Sunating (SOr)	۲۰%	۲٦%	٤%					
Thermal treated								
$a \cdot {}^{0}C / t$ thus	۷	٥,	۲	٩٩				
	11.47%	xv.vo%	۳.۳۹٪	• •				
$a = {}^{0}C / (h)$	١ ٤	٦٨	۲	٨ 4				
	17.77%	٨٠.٩٥٪	۲.۳۸٪					
Combination treatment								
(irrad. + thermal)	۷	٤٥	٣					
(' KGy/°·°C 't hrs)	17.77%	A1.A7%	0.20%	00				

Table \4: Layer thickness area and number of row cells for
Exocarp, Mesocarp and Endocarp for Siwi date
fruits treat.

Treatments	Laye	r thickness	s area	Number of row cells			
	Exocarp	Mesocarp	Endocarp	Exocarp	Mesocarp	Endocarp	
Untreated	£ . × 70	£9.×70	٤×۲٥	۲.	٥V	۲	
samples							
Irradiation s	amples						
۱ kGy	3.××20	£0.×70	1.×10	11	70	۲	
۲ kGy	1.0×70	£0.×70	1.×10	١٦	٥.	٤	
۳ kGy	٥٠×٢٥	٤٣·×٢٥	۹۲×۲۵	10	22	۲	
Fumigation s	amples						
Methyl							
bromide	۰۶×۰۸	£7•×70	1.×10	١٩	7 0	٣	
MB							
Sulfating	0.270		٥٧٢٥	١.	٣٨	۲	
(SO ₇)							
Thermal trea	ted						
۰°C/۲٤	W. ¥ 70	£1,×70	٣~٢٥	v	٥.	۲	
hrs						'	
۰، °C/٤۸	٨.~٢٥	0	*~**	١٤	٦٨	۲	
hrs							
Combination treatment							
()							
KGy/°·°C	۳°×۲°	٤٣٠×٢٥	1.×10	۷	£0	٣	
۲ thrs)							

Treatments	L	Total of anoa		
Treatments	Exocarp	Mesocarp	Endocarp	- Total of area
I lasta a stard	t•×۲۵	£9. × 70	٤ × ۲٥	٥٣٤ × ٢٥
Untreated	۷.٤٩٪	91.77%	· V £ 9%	1880.
Irradiation samp	les		•	
A 1-C	7 · × 70	20. × 70	1 · × ۲0	07 . × 70
' KGY	11.07%	٨٧.٥٤٪	1.97%	1
*10	1.0×70	20. × 70	1.×10	070 × 70
' KGy	11.77%	٧٨.٢٦%	1.4 5%	15700
# 1-C	0 · × 7 0	23 × 20	۵ ۲ × ۲	٤٨٢ × ٢٥
^y KGy	۱۰.۳۷٪	٨٩.٢١%	• . ± ۲%	17.0.
Fumigation samp	oles		l	
methyl bromide	۰ × ۲۰	£ 7 • × 70	1.×10	01. × 40
(MB)	10.71%	٨٢.٣٥٪	1.97%	1770.
	0 · × 70	44° × 40	۰ ۲ × ۹	470 × 40
Sunating (SO ₁)	14.91%	10.V1%	1 /	9770
Thermal treated				
0.°C/X thur	3.× 20	£1•×70	۳ × ۲۰	5 5 W × 70
Ut Cit and	٦.٧٧%	97.00%	۰.٦٨٪	11.70
$0 \cdot {}^{0}C/(4 \wedge h)$	۸۰ × ۲۵	0 · · × ۲0	۲ × ۲۰	07 × 70
	13.00%	٨٥.٩١٪	• . ٣ ٤ %	1200.
Combination trea	atment [*]		·	·
(irrad. +				
thermal)	40 × 40	28• × 20	1 · × ۲0	$t \forall \circ \times \forall \circ$
(`kGy/°·°C	٧.٣٧٪	9.07%	۲.۱۱٪	11440
* thrs)*				

Table **`°** : Effect treatments for Siwi date (on layer thickness area



Fig. ⁷ : Cross – Section of untreated date fruits – Siwi variety.

- A- Epidermal cells.
- B- Outer hypodermal cells.
- C- Outer hypodermal cells. D- Tannin cells.
- E- Stone cells.
- F- Inner hypodermal cells.



- Fig. ": cross-section of date fruits Siwi variety after irradiated ". kGy, (1 °. x).
 - A- Tannin cells (damaged free injured cells). B-Broken cells.
 - C- Vascular system. D- Shrinkage outer hypodermal cells.



- Fig. 4: Cross -Section of dates Siwi variety after combination
treatments Thermal ۰.c° / ۲٤hr plus irradiation ۱.۰ kGy
(۱۲.۰x).
A-Outer hypodermal cells.B-Tannin cells.
 - C- Rupture cells. F-Vascular system.

B-Tannin cells. D- Empty cells.



Fig. °: cross – section of treated dates Siwi variety after sulfiting treatments (". "x).

A- Outer hypodermal cells. C- Mesocarp cells. B- Tannin cells.D- Vascular system.

_Y 0 _



Fig. 7: cross-section of date fruits – Siwi – variety after fumigation with Methyl Bromide (^{\ \ .}°x).

A- Mesocarp cells (hypodermal cells). B, F – Stone cells.

C- Tannin cells. D- Inner hypodermal cells.



Fig. ^V: cross-section of date fruits – Siwi – variety after irradiated $\cdot kGy, (17.\circ x).$ B- Vascular system.

- A- Tannin cells (damaged small size).
- C- Shrinkage outer hypodermal cells.

109_

REFERENCES

- Abd- Ellateaf, A.M. (1۹۹1). Effect of dehydration technique on dates and apricot sheets. M.Sc. Thesis, Food Sci., Tech. Dept., Fac. of Agric. El Fayoum, Cairo Univ.
- Abozaid, M.S.M.A. (****). Chemical and technological studies on using some date varieties for producing some balanced foods. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac of Agric., Al-Azhar Univ.
- Ahmed, M.S.H.; Hameed, A.A.; Kadhum, A.A. and Ali, S.R. (1910). Comparative evaluation of trial shipments of fumigated and radiation disinfected dates from Iraq. Acta Aliment. 15: 500-573.
- Al-Kahtani, H.A.; Abu-Tarboush, H.M.; Al-Dryhine, Y.N.; Adnan, M.A.A.; El-Shami, B. and El-Magaddidi, M.A. (1997). Irradiation of dates. Insect disinfestations microbial and chemical assessments, and use of thermoluminescence technique. Plant Production Department, College of Agriculture, King Saud Univ..
- Al-Rawi, N.; Markakis, P. and Bauer, D.H. (١٩٦٧). Amino acid composition of Iraqi dates. J. Sci. Food Agric. ١٨, ١-٢.
- AOAC ((···). Official Methods of Analysis of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists International. Published by the Association of Official Analytical Chemist's International. Maryland [·]·^{(VV-YE)V}. USA
- Assous, M.T.M. (1999). Production and evaluation of Siwi date syrup (Dibis). M.Sc. Tesis, Fac. of Agric., Cairo Univ.
- **Baselt, R.** (197). Disposition of toxic Drugs and chemicals in ^{7nd} Ed. Biochemical publications, Davis, California pp. 017-019.
- Codex(1990). Alternative to methyl bromide,: Research needs for California. The department of pesticide regulation and the California Department of Food and Agriculture, September, 1990.
- **Dyett, E.J. and Shelley, D.** (1977). The effects of sulphite preservative in British fresh sausages. J. Appl. Bacteriol. ^{Y9}: $\xi T 9 \xi \xi 7$.
- EHC, (۱۹۹٤). Environmental Health Criteria (EHC) for methyl bromide, draft for Editor, IPCS/UNEP/ILO/WHO.

- **E.O.S.Q.C.** (1947). Egyptian Organization for Standardization and Quality Control. ES : 199-9 UDC 775-40, Fruit Preserves, Ministry of Industry, Cairo, Egypt.
- El-Feky, M.S.H.M. (****). Chemical and microbiological quality of some foods. Ph.D. Thesis. Fac. Agric. Moshtohor, Zagazig Univ., (Benha Branch), Egypt.
- El-Salhy, F.T.A. (1997). Effect of gamma rays on fruit storage of some date palm cultivars. MSc. Thesis Fac. Agric. Moshtohor, Zagazig Univ., Egypt.
- **Emam, O.A., Farag, S.A. and Hammad, A.T.** (1995). Comparative studies between fumigation and irradiation of semi-dry date fruits. Die Nahrung vol. ^m N. ⁷ pp. ¹⁾.
- FAO (\ 4 A T). Composition of foods. FUM/RS Rev. \, Rome
- FAO(1997). Coporate Document Repository. Date palm products-M-17. ISBN 97-0-1.7701-7.
- **FAO** (1995). Food and Agriculture Organization of the united nations. Date Palm Products, Agricultural services Bulletin
- FAO (1997). Food quality and safety systems. A training manual on food hygiene and hazard analysis and critical control point HACCP system, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome.
- **FAO** ($\forall \cdot \cdot \forall$). Statistics of World date production.
- **FAO/IAEA** (**1991**). Analytical detection methods of irradiated foods International Atomic Energy Agency IAEA, P.^V
- **FAO/WHO** (1۹۸۸). Pesticide residues in food. Report of the Jaint meeting of the FAO panel experts on pesticide residues in food and the environment and WHO expert group on pesticide residues. FAO plant production and production paper 97.
- Gad Alla , , A. Sohair.; A. M. El-Marasafy.; E. Y. Salama; M.M. Ayoub and Salwa, M. Dogheim (*...). Monitoring of methyl bromide residues as bromide ion some cereals and herbs collected from Egyptian Governorates. ^Ath of Agric. Dev. Res., Fac. Agric., Ain Shams Univ., , November *.-**.
- **Greve, P.A. and W.B.F. Grevenstuk,** (194). Optimization studies on the determination of bromide residues in lettuce, Meded. Fac. Landbouww. Rijksuniv. Gent, (194).

- Greve, P.A. and W.B.F. Grevenstuk, (1949). Gas-Liquid chromatographic determination of bromide ion in lettuce inter laboratory studies, JAOAC, 37 : 1100-1109.
- Hamdy, Z., M. ($\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow$). Studies on date pollen characters of some date varieties and their effect on fruit anatomy of Amhat dates. Agric. Res. Rev., $\neg \cdot (\uparrow) : \uparrow \neg \uparrow\uparrow$.
- **IARC** ($14\Lambda^{1}$). Monographs on the evaluation of the carcinogenic risk of chemicals to humans: some halogenated hydrocarbons and pesticide exposure vol. ξ_{1} , pp $1\Lambda^{1}$.
- **Ibrahim, H.I.K.** (199). Solar energy dehydration of fruits and vegetables and its effects on enzymatic activities of the process. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Ain Shams Univ., Egypt.
- Johansen, D.A. (194). Plant micro technique. New York Company, pp.°⁽ⁿ⁾.
- Kamel, S.I. (1977). Factors influencing the quality of dehydrated dates. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Cairo University.
- Khalil, S.A.A. (1999). Chemical and Technological studies on some date products. M.Sc. Thesis Fac. of Agric. Moshtohor, Zagazig Univ., Banha Branch, Egypt.
- Mcweeny, D.J.; M.E. Knowles and J.F. Hearne (۱۹۷٤). The chemistry of non-enzymatic browning in foods and its control by sulphites. J. Sci. Food Agric. Yo: Yro-VE7.
- Nezam El-Din, A.M.M. (۱۹۸۸). Studies on harmful substances in some foods Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. of Agric. Moshtohor, Zagazig Univ., Egypt.
- Ramadan, B.R. (1994). Chemical and technological studies on some New Valley dates. M.Sc. Tesis, Food Sci. Tec. Dep., Fac of Agric., Assiut Univ., Egypt.
- Ramadan, B.R. (1990). Biochemical nutritional and technological studies on dates. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac of Agric., Assiut Univ., Egypt.
- Ranganna, S. (1977). Manual of analysis of fruit and vegetable products. Tata McGraw-Hill Publishing Company Limited, New Delhi.
- Ranganna, S. (۱۹۷۹). "Manual of Analysis of fruit and vegetable products." Tata McGraw Hill Publishing Co. Limited, New Delhi.

- Roberts, A.C. and Mc Weeny, D.J. (1977). The uses of sulfur dioxide in the food industry. J. Fd. Technol., V: YY)-YWA.
- Sahari, M.A.; Barzegar, M. and Rodfar, R. (۲۰۰٦). Effect of varieties on the composition of dates (Phoneix dactylifera L.) note. Food Sci. tech. Int. ¹-^V.
- Sawaya, W.; Khalil, J.; Safi, W. and Al-Shalhate, A. (١٩٨٣). Physical and chemical characterization of three Saudi date cultivars at various stage of development. Canadian Inst. Food Sci. and Tech. j. ١٦ (٢) Δ٧-٩٢.
- Somogi, M. (1907). Notes on sugar determination. J. Biol. Chem., 190: 19-17.
- Steel, R.G.D. and Torrie, J.H. (1911). Principle and procedures of statistics. A biometerical approach, Mc Graw Hill Co., New York.
- Stijve, T. ($\$ ($\$ V). Improved method for the gas chromatographic determination of inorganic bromide in food stuffs fumigated with methyl bromide. Deutsche Lebensm. Rundsch, \forall ^{γ} : $\gamma \gamma_{-}\gamma \gamma_{\circ}$.
- Stijve, T. (1911). Gas chromatographic determination of inorganic bromide residues – a simplified procedure, Deutsch lebensm-Rundsch VV, 99.
- Youssef, A.K.; Benjamine, W.D.; Kads, A.; Mehislldin, S. and Ali, S.M. (۱۹۸ኘ). Chemical composition of four Iraqi date cultivars. Date Polin. J. 1 (Υ): ΥΛο-Υ٩٤.
- WHO (\4\4). Toxicological evaluation of some food additives including anticaking agents, antimicrobials, antioxidants, emulsifiers and thickening agents. World Health Organization
- Zaid, S.F.; El-Salhy, F.T.; Nassef, A.E.; El-Beltagy, A. and Mohrame, H. (*...). Physicochemical studies for detection of irradiated dried date fruits. Isotope & Rad. Res., ^w, ⁷, ¹, ¹0^v0-¹0^A7.

تأثير التشعيع والتبخير والمعاملة الحرارية على الخواص الكيمائية والحسية والهستولوجية للبلح السيوى أثناء التخزين.

محمد نجيب قناوي * – أحمد محمود همام * – عبد البارى داوود ** محمد على *** – جادو بكر جادو *** * قسم علوم الأغذية – كلية الزراعة –جامعة المنيا ** كلية السياحة والفنادق – جامعة المنيا *** مركز البحوث الزراعية – الجيزة – مصر

أجريت هذه الدارسة على ثمار البلح النصف جاف" صنف سيوى " بهدف تحسين صفات جودة الثمار على درجة حرارة الغرفة. وتضمنت الدارسة استخدام الإشعاع الجامى بجرعات منخفضة (۱، ۲، ۳ کیلو جرای) ومعاملات حراریة (۵۰^۰م / ۲۴ ساعة ،۰۰^۰م / ۴۸ ساعة) و معاملات مزدوجة (٥٥٠ م لمدة ٢٤ ساعة مع التشعيع بجرعة إشعاعية ٢٤ليو جراى) لإحلالها محل التبخير ببروميد المثيل و الكبر ته بثاني أكسيد الكبريت والتخزين مدة١٢ شهر (سنة كاملة).. وتم اجراء التحاليل لبعض الصفات الكيماوية (السكريت الكلية والألياف والبروتين الخام والدهن الخام والرماد ونوعيةالسكريات وقيم الأملاح المعدنية)للثمار والإختبارات الحسية وكذلك الدارسة التشريحية للثمار ولوحظ من النتائج انخفاض قيم السكريات الكلية في جميع العينات أثناء مدة التخزين ،وكانت قيمة للسكريات الكلية في عينة الكنترول أقل قيمة (٥٧.١٤)في نهاية التخزين بينما سجلت أعلى قيمة للسكريات الكلية (٧٦.٤٣%) في المعاملة الحرارية ٥٠م / ٨ ٤ ساعة في نهاية مدة التخزين . كما تبين من التحليل بـ HPLC للسكريات الموجودة في عينات التمور (المعاملات) والغير معاملة للصنف (سيوى) احتواء العينات على سكريات أساسية هي الجلوكوز، الفركتوز، السكروز ،وسكريات أخري بكميات أقل مثل الزيلوز – المالتوز – المانوز – السوريبتول ،ووجود نسب قليلة جدا من سكريات غير معروفة ، وتراوحت قيم السكروز والجلوكوز والفركتوز في العينات الغير معاملة ٠.١٣٦% ، ٢٦.٩٤، ٢٦.٩٤ على الترتيب، كما تبين ان قيم السكريات الأساسية ازدادت بالمعاملات عن العينات الغير معاملة ولكن انخفضت بزيادة مدة التخزين (١٢ شهر). تبين من نتائج دراسة متبقيات المبيدات الحشرية أن جميع عينات الكنترول الغير معاملة خالية نهائيا من أى مبيدات حشرية ، بينما كانت العينات المعاملة ببروميد الميثيل أقل من الحدود القصوى ٥.٠٠ جزء/ المليون في متبقيات بروميد الميثيل ، كما وجدت أن العينات المعاملة بالكبرية تحتوم على متبقيات عملية الكبر ته أقل من ١٥٠٠ جزء/ المليون. أوضحت النتائج أن ثمار التمور فقيرة في محتواها من البروتين الخام والدهن الخام (٢.٣٠ – ٢.٣٨ %) ، ٣.١١ – ٣.٩٦%)، يعكس الرماد المرآة الحقيقية للمحتوى المعدني للعناصر في ثمار التمور السيوي وأوضحت النتائج

77£

عدم وجود تغير لمموس بسبب المعاملات المستخدمة وتراوحت القيم في العينات الكنترول الغير معاملة والمعاملة من (٢.٤٠ – ٢.٦٨) % خلال مدة الخزن ١٢ شهرا. وتراوحت قيم العناص المعدنية في ثمار التمور المدروسة كالآتي: المغنسيوم (٣٥.١١ – ٣٨.٦٦) ، صوديوم (٦.١٣- ٧.٨) ، زنك (١٣.٤ - ١٨.٤) المنجنيز (٧.٣٧- ٨.٤٩) ، الحديد (٩.١١ - ٩.٩٠) الكالسيوم (١٦.٢٣ - ١٦.٨) البوتاسيوم (٤٨.٠٣ - ٤٨.٠٦) النحاس (٣.٤٦ – ٤.٢١) ملليجرام لكل ١٠٠ جرام مادة جافة خلال مدة الخزن،كما أظهرت النتائج انخفاض قيم الألياف الخام تدريجيا من بداية الخزن حتى نهاية الفترة ١٢ شهرا من ١.٣٧% من معاملة العينات %1.77 الغير فيكل إلى (كنترول)و العينات المعاملة،وبينت نتائج دراسة الخواص الحسية أن قيم اللون للمعاملات الآمنة سجلت درجات مقبولة وهي معاملات الإشعاع ١ ، ٢ ، ٣ كليو جراى كذلك المعاملات الحرارية ٥٥٠ / ٢٤ ساعة ، ٥٥٠ م / ٤ ساعة والمعاملة المزدوجة (حرارة + إشعاع). بينما سجلت قيم الطعم والقوام أيضا درجات عالية في المعاملات الآمنة كالسابق ولكن كانت أقل درجة في القوام للمعاملة بالإشعاع عند ٣ كيلو جراي. ولكن كانت اعلى درجة للطعم والقوام عند المعاملة بالحرارة • o o م / ٤ ٢ ساعة .أما بالنسبة للقبول العام للثمار المدروسة احتلت المعاملة الحرارية ٥٥٠ م /٢٤ ساعة ٩.٤٧ درجة في بداية الخزن – ٨.١٩ في نهاية فترة الخزن ١٢ شهر.

أظهرت الدراسات التشريحية للثمرة وجود ثلاثة طبقات أساسية هي كالآتي :أنسجة طبقة الغلاف الخارجي (Exocarp) والتى تتكون من ثلاث إلي خمسة مناطق ، وأنسجة الطبقة الوسطى (Mesocarp) وتتميز هده الأنسجة إلي ثلاث مناطق، أنسجة الطبقة الداخلية (Endocarp) والتى تترتب في صفين ، وقد اثبتت النتائج التشريحية أن الأفضلية للمعاملة الحرارية ٥٠ م لمدة ٢٤ ساعة سواء منفردة أو مشتركة مع الإشعاع ، بينما أدى الإشعاع بجرعة وانصغاط للخلايا إلى إحداث تغيرات كبيرة واضحة حيث حدثت كرمشة لأنسجة ال المعاملة الحرارية ١٠ م لمدة ٢٤ ساعة سواء منفردة أو مشتركة مع الإشعاع ، بينما أدى الإشعاع بجرعة الحرارية ١٠ م لمدة ٢٤ ساعة سواء منفردة أو مشتركة مع الإشعاع ، بينما أدى الإشعاع بجرعة الحرارية ١٠ م لمدة ٢٤ ساعة سواء منفردة أو مشتركة مع الإشعاع ، بينما أدى الإشعاع بجرعة الحرارية ١٠ م لمدة ٢٤ ساعة سواء منفردة أو مشتركة مع الإشعاع ، بينما أدى الإشعاع بجرعة الحرارية ١٠ م لمدة ٢٤ ساعة سواء منفردة أو مشتركة مع الإشعاع ، بينما أدى الإشعاع بجرعة الحرارية ١٠ م لمدة ٢٤ ساعة سواء منفردة أو مشتركة مع الإشعاع ، بينما أدى الإشعاع بجرعة الحرارية ١٠ كيلوجراي إلى إحداث تغيرات كبيرة واضحة حيث حدثت كرمشة لأنسجة اله العاملة التنينات حيث أصبح بعضها فارغا تماما منها بجانب الضرر في خلايا المرانشيمية فيه ، بينما أحدثت الجرعات العالية ٣ كيلوجراي تكسير في خلايا التانينات حيث أصبح بعضها فارغا تماما منها بجانب الضرر في خلايا الرول الأول حسب التانينات حيث أصبح بعضها فرغا تماما منها بجانب الضرر في خلايا المولية ووجود متبقيات من كب أ ٢ في المعاملة الثانية، بينما حازت باقي المعاملات الحرارية ١٠ ٥٠ م ٢٠ ٢٠ م ٢٠ ما معاملات الحرارية ١٠ ٥٠ م ٢٠ ما ٢٠ ما ما للغاقات الدولية ويا حان ما من النتائج المحصل عليها في الدارسة. ويعتبر الإشعاع ١٠ ٨٠ ما ٢٠ ما الثانية، بينما حازت باقي المعاملات الرائفي الما ما ما ما ما ما الغرق المعاملات الحرارية ١٠ ٥٠ م ٢٠ م ما ما ما ما ما الغرق المعاملات الحرارية ١٠ ٥٠ م ٢٠ م ما ما ما ما الغرق المعاملات الحرارية ما ما ما ما ما الما ما النائمة والصالحة لزيادة جودة التخرين لثمار البلح .

_ ۲ ٦ ٥_